Rev		

Bridge No.	
Job No.	

Missouri Department of Transportation Bridge Hydraulics and Scour Report

Stream		
oso of Hydraulio/Scour Study		
37 3, ,		
<u> </u>		
• •	n)	
	uidth	
•	-	
IWAP (
Design Flow		
(years) Drainage Area		(mi ²)
ey length upstream		(ft/mi)
	Q _{des}	Q ₅₀₀
Region =		
issouri Rural USGS regression equations Region = issouri Urban (BDF) regression equations BDF =		
% Impervious Area =		
USGS Station Number =		
nmunity Name =	•	ı
(cfs) 500-year flow		(cfs)
ions: (method chosen and why, expected level of ups		
t	Design Flow (years) Drainage Area (years) Drainage Area Region = BDF = % Impervious Area = USGS Station Number =	pse of Hydraulic/Scour Study ent describing project and purpose of hydraulic study) od Insurance Program Information the community? (www.fema.gov/home/fema/csb.htm) s, a floodplain development permit will be required) o-rise certification will be required) ublished for the area? c, etc.)? Floodway width Map date Design Flow (years) Drainage Area ey length upstream Region = BDF = BDF = W Impervious Area = USGS Station Number =

Observed Evtreme High Water						
Observed Extreme High Water						
Elevation	(ft)	Location			Date	
Comments on Obse	rved Extreme	High Water: (discharge, if known, etc	.)		
Discuss flow condit reach:	ions in reach	and describe	any existing cond	itions that may	influence hydrau	lic behavior in
			Streambed Slo	pe		
USGS Topo map:					Qu	adrangle(s)
. ,	Contour Inter	val =		Distance betwee	 -	<u> </u>
Streambed Profile:	Change in el	evation =		Change in statior	 n =	
Streambed Slope =	-		(ft/ft)	-	-	
•						
		Wate	er Surface Profile	e Model		
Model used:						
☐ River Analysis Sys	stem (HEC-RA	S)	☐ HEC-	-2		
☐ Bridge Backwater	Analysis (WSF	PRO)	☐ Othe	r (describe)		
Which cross section	n was in the m	nodel and why	?			
Describe the channe	ol/overbank e	anditions and	the roughness as	officients sheet	n.	
Describe the channe	enoverbalik C	JIIUILIUIIS AIIU	the roughness co	emicients chose	711.	
.						
Describe the existin coefficients, etc.)	g and propos	ed bridges an	d the method use	d to model then	1: (Bridge loss calcula	tion method, pier loss
osomoiomo, oto.,						
		Water Si	urface Profile Me	ndal Rasults		
Water Surface Profile Model Results						
			Design Flow	Conditions Conditions	Propose Design Flow	d Conditions
High Water Surface E	Elevation at Bri	dae (ft)	N/A	Q ₅₀₀ N/A	Design Flow	Q ₅₀₀
Maximum Backwater		ago (II)	13/73	14/74	+	
Average Velocity in c	-	n Bridge (ft/s)				
Average Velocity thro						
Freeboard (ft)			_			
% of flow overtopping	road					
Bridge overtopped?						
Overtonnia a Diagla	**		(ofo) Overter	ning Francisco		
Overtopping Discharg	је 		_ (cfs) Overtop	ping Frequency		

Additional comments on water surface profile model: (backwater, velocities, unusual conditions, model errors, etc. Note: Provide high water surface elevation and maximum backwater for the 100-year discharge if Design Frequency is not 100-year.)

Describe files used in water surface profile model: (HEC-RAS project and plan descriptions, WSPRO filenames and descriptions, etc.
--

Scour Calculations

General Information: (Describe soil conditions in streambed and overbanks:)

Comments on Contraction Scour calculations: (Note: Contraction scour depths greater than 2.0 meters should be viewed with some degree of skepticism.)

Comments on Pier Scour calculations: (Does the scour modeling account for the expected footing, was drift considered in determining pier widths, etc?)

Comments on Abutment Scour calculations:

Scour Calculation Results				
	Calculated So	Calculated Scour Depths (ft)		
	Design Flow	500-year Flow		
Contraction Scour				
Pier Scour				
Left Abutment Scour				
Right Abutment Scour				

Scour Protection Measures

What measures are required to protect against scour?

Additional comments on scour calculations and/or scour protection:

General Information

Are there any improvements/buildings/crops/livestock that might be affected by alterations to the floodplain? (include description and estimated value)

Special Considerations: (Describe any other special conditions or considerations which affect this project)

	Bri	dge Layout Summary		
Span Layout				
Loading	Roadway Width	Skew	Alignment	
Fill exception: Sta.		To Sta		
Dosign Variances: /Pro	uide an explanation of any decir	n variances requested and approved	for this project	
Design variances. (P10	vide ari expianation di ariy desigi	i variances requesteu anu approveu	ror triis project)	
	Hvdr	aulic Analysis Summary		
	ı ıyuı	dano Anaryoto Gammary		
Design Flood Data:				
Design Frequency		Design Discharge		(cfs)
Design High Water Surfa	ice Elevation	(ft) Ba	ckwater	(ft)
Waterway Area below D	.H.W.	(ft ²) Average Velocity th	ru Opening	(ft/s)
Basic (100-yr.) Flood D	ata (if design flood o ther than	100 year):		
Discharge	(cf	s) Water Surface Elevation		(ft)
Overtopping Discharge	Data:			
Overtopping Discharge		(cfs) Overtopping Frequency	uency	(year)